


This article was downloaded by: [Wageningen UR Library]
On: 14 January 2015, At: 06:31
Publisher: Routledge
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954
Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH,
UK



Oxford Development Studies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cods20>

Food Insecurity, Soil Degradation and Agricultural Markets in West Africa: Why Current Policy Approaches Fail

Niek Koning , Nico Heerink & Sjef Kauffman
Published online: 19 Aug 2010.

To cite this article: Niek Koning , Nico Heerink & Sjef Kauffman (2010) Food Insecurity, Soil Degradation and Agricultural Markets in West Africa: Why Current Policy Approaches Fail, *Oxford Development Studies*, 29:2, 189-207

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13600810124747>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly

forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Food Insecurity, Soil Degradation and Agricultural Markets in West Africa: Why Current Policy Approaches Fail

NIEK KONING, NICO HEERINK & SJEF KAUFFMAN

ABSTRACT *The agricultural sector in West Africa is not at present capable of meeting the growing demand for food for its population and of reversing unfavourable trends in soil degradation. We argue that integrated soil management is an essential condition for sustainable agricultural development in the many regions in West Africa where population pressure forces an intensification of land use. Such an approach combines improved soil-moisture storage measures, and the use of organic and inorganic fertilizers and soil amendments. The synergetic effects which could result from this combination are indispensable for achieving the productivity increases needed to cope with the pressure of population. Current (neo-liberal and ecological-participationist) policy approaches are unable to realize the transition towards integrated soil management technologies. The time lags involved in learning to use new technologies, in the adaptation of technologies to local circumstances, and in reaping the benefits of soil fertility investments call for (at least temporary) support of agricultural incomes.*

1. Introduction

Unlike other parts of the world, the relative incidence of undernutrition in sub-Saharan Africa has not decreased but rather seems to have slightly increased over recent decades.¹ A prospective study undertaken for FAO in 1992–93 suggested that this situation would hardly improve before 2010. Even this modest expectation was based on an optimistic assumption of a 3.0% annual growth in agricultural production, 2.0% in cereal yields and 3.3% in fertilizer use per hectare (Alexandratos, 1995, pp. 80, 146, 164, 192). Recent developments, however, tell another story. In many countries, food crop yields increase too slowly for food production to keep up with population growth. As a result, per capita food production in 1996 and 1997 was at 93.5% of its 1989–91 level. Fertilizer use stagnated at about 15 kg/ha in the 1980s and declined to less than 12 kg/ha in 1995 (World Bank, 1999). Besides, there are few signs that the rate of human-induced soil degradation is decreasing.

Niek Koning, Nico Heerink and Sjeff Kauffmann, ISRIC Wageningen, Department of Social Sciences, Hollandseweg 1, 6706 KN Wageningen, The Netherlands.

The authors would like to thank Henk Breman, Niek van Duivenbooden, Uzo Mokwunye, Bertjan van der Kamp, Arie Kuyvenhoven, Godert van Lynden, Ernst-August Nuppenau, Roel Oldeman, Niels Röling and Brent Simpson for their comments on earlier versions of the paper and Jaqueline Resink for map compilation. The responsibility for the views expressed in the paper remains entirely that of the authors.

These disappointing trends have coincided with liberal economic reforms on national and international markets, and with attempts to introduce participatory strategies for encouraging farm progress based on a minimal use of markets for obtaining inputs at the micro level. Many scientists and policy-makers expected these new policy approaches to create better conditions for sustainable agricultural development and food security in less-developed countries (LDCs). Neo-liberal economists have emphasized their belief that economic liberalization should improve the terms of trade for LDC farmers, and that the establishment of individual and secure property rights should facilitate farmer investments in land (e.g. World Bank, 1986a; Krueger, 1995). Others have argued that the introduction of a high external inputs agriculture (HEIA) has damaged the environment, neglected indigenous knowledge and marginalized small farmers, and that much better results could be achieved by using participatory strategies to develop a low external inputs agriculture (LEIA) (e.g. Chambers *et al.*, 1989; Hiemstra *et al.*, 1992; Reijntjes *et al.*, 1992; Pretty, 1995).

These views stem from different circles, and reflect realms of thought which easily conflict with each other. Nevertheless, they also have some common ground. Like ecological-participationists, neo-liberal reformists are critical of the introduction of HEIA in so far as it depends on state intervention in markets. Besides, the neo-liberal view is increasingly environmentally conscious, and acknowledges that measures are needed to prevent farming practices that lead to further soil degradation.² For their part, many ecological-participationists accept the price ratios resulting from liberal market policies as given. If agricultural prices are too low for farmers to buy agro-chemicals, they do not plead for protection, but use this circumstance as an argument for LEIA. In this way, the neo-liberal and ecological-participationist views form two poles of a new pattern in the international discourse on agricultural development in LDCs. This has partly displaced the consensual view of the 1960s/70s, which, encouraged by green revolution achievements, focused on a government-supported introduction of HEIA.³

In the light of recent developments in sub-Saharan Africa, the optimistic expectations attached to these approaches seem questionable. In this paper we explore why, under the circumstances which prevail in this region, effects on agriculture and food supply have been limited and partly negative. We concentrate on West Africa, where soils are rather poor and intensification of land use is currently depleting the already low stocks of soil nutrients. In Section 2, we describe the biophysical and socio-economic constraints on agricultural development in West Africa. We argue that integrated soil management practices are crucially important for increasing farm productivity, but that structural difficulties and adverse price relations have blocked their implementation. In Section 3, we survey the effects of liberal reforms on soil management and agricultural development under existing conditions. We point to the role which internal political structures and the dynamics of agricultural world markets play in the disappointing outcomes, and we suggest that these outcomes cannot easily be changed by an ecological-participationist approach. In Section 4, we use an “infant industry” type of argument to suggest that, besides elements of the new policy approaches including public investments in hard and soft infrastructure, (temporary) support of farm incomes is essential for improving soil conditions and increasing agricultural production in West Africa.

2. West-African Conditions

2.1 *Agro-ecological Potentials and Constraints*

The agricultural resource base of West Africa includes a wide range of tropical climates


and soil conditions.⁴ The main agro-ecological zones are, in order of increasing humidity, the Sahara desert, the Sahel steppe, the Sudan savanna, the Guinea savanna and the Equatorial rain forest. In the Sahara desert, the climatic constraint is too severe to allow agro-pastoral land use. The other zones have varying potentials for farm production. The four zones have major soil-related and climate-related production constraints. Without investments, most soils can support only a limited agricultural population within extensive farming systems (Breman, 1997). Nevertheless, sufficient room exists for human intervention to loosen constraints, in particular the shortage of moisture, the low soil fertility and the degradation of land. The need for such intervention increases with the growing population pressure in the region, which forces an intensification of agricultural production systems.

In the Sahel and savanna zones, insecure rainfall requires careful water management. In rain-fed agriculture, there is room for applying water-saving techniques, like mulch, bunds and water-harvesting measures. An important step would be the abandoning of the practice of burning vegetation and crop residues. Besides, the irrigation potential could be more fully exploited (Weischet & Caviedes, 1993). Agricultural production in the valley bottoms in West Africa may benefit considerably from small-scale irrigated or water-controlled wetland rice cultivation (Windmeijer & Andriess, 1993).

Fertilization of West-African soils is crucially important for sustainable development. Several techniques for restoring soil fertility are available, including mineral fertilizers, organic fertilisers and mineral soil amendments (including local by-products and rock phosphate). However, they have not been utilized on a large scale (Mokwunye *et al.*, 1996; Bationo *et al.*, 1998).

Human-induced land degradation is a serious threat to land productivity. Available data indicate that 62 million hectares are affected by wind erosion, 39 million by water erosion, 12 million by chemical deterioration⁵ and 2 million by physical deterioration (see the general mapping of degradation types in Figure 1). Techniques for overcoming soil degradation do exist but have not been widely adopted (WOCAT, 1997).

Measures for overcoming these constraints are often closely connected. Soil and water conservation techniques like stone bunds or terraces are also effective in improving soil fertility. Agroforestry and intercropping techniques may be beneficial for soil fertility and simultaneously reduce soil degradation. Realizing the agronomic potential of existing soil types and preventing the reduction of this potential by further soil degradation require simultaneous application of water and soil conservation measures, organic fertility measures, and inorganic fertilizers and soil amendments. The synergism of the various components of such an *integrated soil management approach* is especially important (Kauffman, 1996; Breman, 1997). Without improved soil biological, chemical and physical properties, rooting conditions will remain unfavourable and plant nutrient recovery rates of crops are restricted by leaching, bad rooting and suchlike. Their lack makes the application of inorganic fertilizers much less attractive to farmers. Conversely, without inorganic fertilizers and amendments, the scope for organic fertility measures is reduced, because the organic matter for producing compost or dung, or the nutrients needed for improving the effect of leguminous species, are not available in sufficient quantities. This is especially true where bush and grazing lands have been strongly reduced, so that the fertility of arable fields can no longer be maintained by fallowing, or by the syphoning off of nutrients from surrounding lands by manuring or other means. In such situations, only limited improvements can be achieved without the use of inorganic inputs. This is the more so because many



Agro-ecological zone	Annual rainfall (mm)	Length of growing period (days)	Dominant soils (FAO, 1988)
Sahara	<250	<60	Arenosols, Regosols
Sahel	250-550	60-90	Arenosols, Regosols
Sudan savanna	550-900	90-165	Lixisols *)
Guinea savanna	900-1500	165-270	Acrisols *)
Equatorial forest	>1500	>270	Ferralsols, Acrisols

*) Including Fluvisols, Gleysols, Vertisols and Leptosols

Dominant land degradation type

-  Water erosion: loss of topsoil, terrain deformation/mass movement
-  Wind erosion: loss of topsoil, terrain deformation, overblowing
-  Chemical deterioration: loss of nutrients and organic matter, salinization/alkalinization, acidification, pollution
-  Physical deterioration: compaction/crusting, waterlogging, subsidence of organic soils
-  Other: water, non-used wasteland, stable under natural conditions, stable without vegetation, stabilized by human intervention
-  Major agro-ecological zone
-  International boundary

Sources: Oldeman et al, 1991, Windmeijer and Andriess 1993 - Prepared by ISRIC, May 1997

Figure 1. Agro-ecological zones and dominant degradation types.

West-African soils are deficient not only in nitrogen (which could be remedied by green manuring), but also in other nutrients such as phosphate.

An integrated soil management approach involves considerable investment. For soil and water conservation and organic fertility measures, these consist mainly of labour time; for inorganic fertilizers, mainly of money. The full benefits of these investments appear only after a considerable time lag. This is partly caused by learning effects:

farmers may need several years to become acquainted with new technologies involved in integrated soil management, and to adapt them to local circumstances. Moreover, there are considerable time lags in the physical process of soil improvement itself. For example, nutrient recovery is very low; an average of only 30% of nitrogen from applied fertilizer is used at present by crops in West Africa. An improved soil condition can at least double this nutrient use efficiency, but to realize this potential, farmers must keep investing for many years in soil improvement measures, including the application of fertilizers, in spite of much lower initial recovery rates (Breman, 1997).

Translated into economic terms, this means that soil quality is not only a natural resource, but also a capital resource (*soil capital*). Like human capital, it can only be built up gradually if investments are maintained over a long enough time span. Whether this will happen depends on the feasibility of the investments in the short run. The lower initial productivity reduces the profitability for farmers. The feasibility is also affected by individual discount rates and time horizons, physical benefits realized in the course of time, the ratio of input to output prices, (in)security of title and other risks, and limitations in labour input and finance. These are examined in the next section.

2.2 Socio-economic Constraints

Socio-economic factors explain why integrated soil management has not been achieved in West Africa in the post-independence period. For one thing, on-farm investments have been hampered because many farmers are living on the edge of subsistence and, therefore, have high individual discount rates. Also, a number of “structural” handicaps have impeded farmer investments (cf. Platteau, 1990):

- Land use rights become increasingly insecure as a result of demographic growth, confusing official legal policies, and contradictions between official land laws and traditional titles.
- Many African states are characterized by a high degree of political instability, which gives further insecurity for farmers.
- The bureaucratic culture is oriented towards a top-down approach to rural development, which creates incentive problems for farmers, and makes no use of local knowledge and local initiatives. Opportunities for developing informal credit circles into rural credit co-operatives have been under-utilized, so that most farmers lack access to efficient credit. Implementation of techniques for raising soil productivity has often failed because of insufficient consideration of socio-cultural and economic conditions (Hurni *et al.*, 1996; Reij *et al.*, 1996; Scherr & Yadav, 1996).
- Many African staple foods have not benefited from green revolution-type technological breakthroughs. Research investments have been disproportionately oriented towards export crops which are organized by parastatal societies, related, in many cases, to enterprises in the former colonial powers. Research on and extension efforts for food crops have been modest. Improved varieties of grains and legumes have been introduced, but sometimes possess undesirable attributes (difficult to store, inferior taste), and their overall impact remains rather limited. With other foods, especially the roots and tubers which are the staple foods in the coastal and subhumid zones, science-based production of new varieties has been very limited (Venkatesan, 1994). A problem is that research into these foods cannot profit from prior research results in western countries.

- Low population densities have hampered the development of markets and raised the cost of physical and social infrastructure per head. This partly explains why transport remains underdeveloped. Besides, infrastructural investments have often been ill-conceived and maintenance badly organized.⁶ Lack of infrastructure has increased the difference between prices in rural and urban markets (e.g. Ahmed & Rustagi, 1987), augmented farmer's transaction costs (e.g. the time involved in headloading of output; see, e.g. Heerink *et al.*, 1997a) and raised the costs of inputs.
- Work ethics in Africa have been formed through a history of long-fallow agriculture and pastoral nomadism and, especially with male workers, have not always been conducive to an intensification of agricultural production. Adjustment of these labour attitudes is not easy, except where the intensification process is sufficiently attractive.

These structural handicaps have reduced the feasibility of investments in soil capital for farmers. Insecure land-use rights and political instability have increased investment risks, while high transport costs, lack of improved techniques and the malfunctioning of institutional support systems have reduced the benefits of investments. Lack of credit and work ethics have complicated investments in own-family labour and external inputs.

On-farm investments have further been hampered because African farmers have been faced with unfavourable terms of trade during most of the post-independence period. There are several reasons for this situation:

- In part, unfavourable agricultural output (and input) prices have been related to the above constraints. In particular, high transport and information costs have fostered market imperfections and depressed farm gate prices, while indebtedness, lack of credit and poor storage facilities have forced farmers to sell food crops immediately after the harvest for low prices and buy food at much higher prices some months later.
- Domestic policies have also contributed to the squeeze on agricultural prices. In many cases, overvaluation of currencies has kept agricultural prices below world market levels. Also, export crops have been heavily taxed to raise state revenue (World Bank, 1986a,b; Timmer, 1988; Krueger, 1995). Behind these policies have been attempts to appease urban populations by low food prices, inflation of government costs resulting from clientelism and lack of farmers' movements which could counteract these tendencies.
- World market prices of the products of African farmers have been strongly fluctuating and, for some decades, declining in real terms. Prices of export crops have often been depressed by global overproduction and competition by industrial substitutes, and prices of food crops by global overproduction and dumping policies of developed countries. Food aid from western countries has often been given in a way that has harmed local producers and trade structures (and partly continues to be so)⁷ and, in many cases, has served as a trail blazer for increased commercial imports (Maxwell, 1991).

Short time horizons and high individual discount rates of farmers, structural handicaps and unfavourable agricultural prices have blocked the shift to sustainable intensive farm technologies and the build-up of soil capital. In export crops, where new varieties have increased yields and where marketing boards have guaranteed product prices and provided subsidies and credit for inputs, fertilizer use has often been at an adequate level. However, in traditional food crops, where fertilizers, although subsidized, have not been provided on credit, fertilizer use has typically remained below 10 kg/ha. Where

export crops have been integrated into rotations with food crops, the latter have benefited from the residual effect of the fertilizers provided for export crops. In addition, export crop farmers have diverted some of the fertilizer directly to food crops. Nevertheless, fertilization of food crops has generally remained very restricted, and crop yields have stagnated.

Throughout the post-independence period, a rapid increase in the rural population in West Africa has led to an expansion of the area under cultivation (from 58.2 million hectares in 1965 to 70.7 million hectares in 1995; World Bank, 1999) and to a decrease in the length of the fallow period. However, investments in soil capital have been insufficient to sustain a productive type of intensified agriculture (Lele & Stone, 1989; Paarlberg, 1996; Reardon *et al.*, 1997, 1999). The result has been erosion, soil mining and involution (Pieri, 1989; Stoorvogel & Smaling 1990; van der Pol, 1992; Bationo *et al.*, 1998). In parts of the Sahel zone, this has led to Malthusian situations and ecological fragility, which has turned climatic fluctuations into disaster. This has prompted foreign aid, both by government and private channels, but much of it has been relief aid rather than development assistance.

3. New Policy Approaches and West-African Agriculture

3.1 *Impact of New Policy Approaches*

Both agricultural prices and structural constraints have been affected by the recent liberal reforms at national and international levels: the Uruguay Round of GATT negotiations and the structural adjustment programmes (SAPs) supported by the World Bank and the IMF.

The (partial) liberalization of international trade in agricultural products will, in theory, increase the world market prices of many of these products through the reduction in dumping by countries which protect their agricultural sectors. These effects should not be over-estimated, however. With respect to beef, the curtailment of export subsidies by the Uruguay Round agreement has sealed the reduction in beef dumping by the EU, which had already been realized under pressure from European non-governmental organizations (NGOs) some years before.⁸ It has contributed to a substantial improvement of livestock prices in West-African markets, but the devaluation of the CFA franc in 1994 probably had a greater effect (Ruben *et al.*, 1994; Quarles van Ufford & Klaasse Bos, 1995; Moll & Heerink, 1998). The effects of the Uruguay Round agreement on other West-African products (or substitutes for these products) remain to be seen. Forecasts are modest, e.g. a 6% rise in world market prices of wheat, or a 4% rise in those of coarse grains (Safadi & Laird, 1996).

Structural adjustment has significantly reduced taxation⁹ of export crop producers in countries like Ghana and Burkina Faso. In other West-African countries, particularly Guinea-Bissau and Sierra Leone, taxation of export crops has increased in spite of SAPs (World Bank, 1994, pp. 79–80, 244–245). Moreover, structural adjustment has generally involved a reduction in the subsidization of farm inputs, which has caused rises in input prices. These have been reinforced by currency devaluations, which also conform to the liberal package.¹⁰ While the effects of devaluations on the prices of (imported) agro-chemicals have mostly been complete and immediate, the effects on farm product prices have more often been partial and/or retarded (Kempkes, 1997; Reardon *et al.*, 1997).

The absence of compensating increases in producer prices means that increases in input prices often have serious effects, because such rises make the use of these inputs

less attractive for farmers (see also Scoones & Toulmin, 1999). In export crops, where agro-chemicals are indispensable, production itself is sometimes threatened. In Ghana, use of insecticides and fungicides dropped by almost 90% following the removal of subsidies at the end of the 1980s. Because these chemicals are crucial for controlling capsid and swollen shoot disease, which are widespread in the country, subsidies have been reintroduced in recent years (ISSER, 1995, pp. 86–87). In the savanna region in Togo and some other West-African regions with low cotton yields, the area under cotton has decreased in spite of a favourable development of cotton prices in the world market.¹¹ Since parastatal companies still pay rather low prices to farmers, increased prices of agro-chemicals have made the production of cotton unattractive for farmers. Food crops are also affected, as the residual effect of fertilizer used for cotton in rotational systems is lost and the opportunity to divert part of this fertilizer to food crops disappears.

The direct effects of increased input prices on food crop production are even more dramatic. In a recent seminar on the economics of agro-chemicals it was observed that “removal of fertiliser subsidies in most West-African countries has, at best, resulted in a stagnation of fertiliser use in the food crop sector” (de Jager *et al.*, 1998). In many regions, farmers are actually buying less fertilizer for food crops and, in some cases, like maize in Ghana, this reduction has gained dramatic proportions. The explanation can be found in the evolution of value–cost ratios (VCRs).¹² Available evidence indicates that, in Ghana and Mali, the VCR for maize has fallen below two, while in the latter country, the VCR for rice has fallen to four (Gerner *et al.*, 1995). In Burkina Faso, the VCR for sorghum and millet fell from 5.3 in 1981 to 2.6 in 1989 and 2.9 in 1996 (Breman, 1997).¹³ For Togo, Koffi-Tessio (1998, table 11.3) indicates that VCRs for food crops (maize, cassava, sorghum, yam, millet) fell from 6–16 in 1983 to 1–4 in 1994. This author concludes that the recent devaluation of the CFA franc and the ongoing structural adjustment programme undermine food security in Togo (Koffi-Tessio, 1998). Recently available data for West Africa as a whole confirm these trends (World Bank, 1999). Fertilizer use has decreased from 11.6 kg/ha in 1992 and 1993 to only 7.1 kg/ha in 1995.

Another common element of SAPs, the privatization of input supply, may also have adverse side-effects. Privatization is meant to increase the economic efficiency of the supply sector. However, poor farmers and farmers in remote regions are often not reached by private suppliers, because financial risks are too high and returns too low (see also Reardon *et al.*, 1999). Moreover, input markets are at risk of being dominated by a few firms because of the continued involvement of marketing boards in the provision of inputs for export crops, the small size of the market for agro-chemicals for food crops, the high capital costs of importing, storing and distributing foreign-produced inputs and the underdeveloped financial services markets. In Ghana, for example, it has resulted in a fertilizer market that is dominated by one company (Heerink *et al.*, 1997b; Kempkes, 1997).

The reform of public expenditure during structural adjustment may also work out differently from what had been expected. According to the philosophy behind the reforms, an increase in government efficiency leads to an improvement in infrastructural efforts in spite of economizing on government budgets. However, in most cases this expectation has not been fulfilled. Structural adjustment has usually entailed a decrease in capital rather than in recurrent expenditures.¹⁴ As a result, in many countries investments in physical rural infrastructure have declined, and new deficiencies have emerged in official research and extension services for agriculture, especially where food production is concerned (Reardon *et al.*, 1997).

Meanwhile, the weakening of official extension services is making farmers more dependent on NGOs for support. This is reinforced by the growing amount of international development aid which is being spent through these organizations. Among NGOs, ecological and participationist views prevail. Some of the more serious NGOs are expanding their agronomic activities and building up good contacts with farmers. The participatory approach tends to make these organizations' communication with farmers more effective than that of official services. In regions where long fallow periods are precluded by population pressure, now that chemical fertilizers have become unaffordable, farmers are becoming more open to the organic techniques propagated by these organizations. So, apparently, the ecological-participationist view is making some headway. However, up to now, it has not been able to reverse the declining trend in food production per capita. Moreover, the adoption of organic methods as it occurs in some places appears to be insufficient to compensate for the deterioration of nutrient balance, or the loss in farm incomes. Indeed, it may be doubted whether these methods can support the kind of sustainable intensification which is needed to accommodate the rapid population growth in West Africa. Technologies based on organic fertilizers, biological pesticides and indigenous seeds, which have been developed by farmers in a long process of trial and error, are relatively efficient at low productivity levels. However, they are unable to exploit the still unused agronomic potential of soils in West Africa. As argued above, this requires an integrated soil management approach that utilizes the synergetic effects of water and soil conservation, organic fertility measures and inorganic fertilizers. Similar arguments are used by Reardon, who believes that exclusive reliance on low-input sustainable agriculture will be unable to meet the 3–5% projected growth in food demand in Africa (Reardon *et al.*, 1997, 1999; Reardon, 1997). Besides the reduction in fertilizers, the decreased use of pesticides cannot easily be compensated by more organic methods. Integrated pest management requires considerable institutional support for data collection, training of farmers and suchlike. This will not easily be realized in the context of structural adjustment.

The adoption of low-input methods can be better seen as a defensive reaction of farmers to adverse economic conditions, rather than as a road to sustainable intensification. Economic analysis shows that low-input farming becomes more attractive to farmers when prices of outputs are lower, prices of inputs higher and infrastructure more underdeveloped. When the input–output price ratio faced by farmers improves, farmers are expected to switch to more productive agricultural techniques that require a relatively high share of external inputs (Heerink & Ruben, 1996; Ruben *et al.*, 1998). This switch can be explained from the fact that ecological production based on traditional technologies is relatively more efficient at low levels of input, whereas modern, high-yielding production technologies are more efficient at high input levels. If farm-gate prices of external inputs are low in comparison with output prices, the synergetic effects of integrated soil management technologies with fertilizer-responsive, high-yielding varieties can be exploited fully.¹⁵ Results from 15 case studies throughout Africa are consistent with this argument. They show that low external input approaches require high levels of labour input, but make sense when alternative fertility inputs are costly or difficult to acquire (Scoones & Toulmin, 1999).

On balance, it is questionable whether, “liberalization”, as it has come in the form of the GATT agreement and structural adjustment, has had any positive effects on agricultural development and food security in West Africa. The (limited) agricultural growth that resulted from these policies was a result of area expansion rather than an increase in yields, and it is even possible to indicate clear cases where food crop production has been negatively affected. In several cases these policies seem to have

contributed to further soil degradation rather than better soil management. In this situation, the adoption of organic methods by farmers has been a defensive reaction for minimizing their income loss rather than a positive development toward more sustainability and food security.

3.2 *Getting Prices “Right”*

Important assertions by neo-liberals are that the (external and especially internal) terms of trade have been against agriculture in many developing countries; that an improvement of these terms will contribute to agricultural progress; and that such an improvement is precisely what liberal reforms will bring about. This idea has been criticized by authors like de Alcántara (1993) or Platteau (1990), who emphasize that agricultural progress is hampered by structural constraints (like those mentioned in Sections 2.1 and 2.2). However, many of these authors (including Platteau) still admit the need for adequate prices. This gives rise to another kind of doubt: Do liberal reforms deliver the favourable price ratios they profess to bring? This question can be answered on different levels.

3.2.1 Has liberalization been achieved? On one level it can be seen that structural adjustment and the Uruguay Round agreement have only been partly successful in achieving liberalization. It was somewhat naïve to expect that an adjustment programme adopted under pressure from international agencies would be enough to achieve internal liberalization. It did not reckon with the toughness of political realities in West Africa.¹⁶ As a product of colonial and post-colonial underdevelopment, many states have evolved into clientelist structures, with power élites that try at all cost to monopolize control over state resources which they use for maintaining their political base by distributing favours (including nationalized enterprises) among their supporters (Clark, 1997; also Davidson, 1992). It has eroded democracy and encouraged inflated and barely competent state services, the ever-increasing costs of which are paid for by foreign aid and by bleeding the productive parts of society. Agencies of restraint that could correct such practices have been virtually absent since colonial times (Collier, 1996).

At the moment, some governments are trying to break away from this pattern. The economic effects are certainly positive in some cases. However, whether these attempts will succeed in permanently changing the underlying political structures remains to be seen. Moreover, under the economic conditions currently prevailing in West Africa, democratic governments can often expect a short life. They may therefore show little commitment in fulfilling conditions set for the continuation of foreign aid (Collier, 1996). Meanwhile, in several other countries, clientelist formations persist unabated. If put under pressure by structural adjustment programmes, these formations tend to remain intact as long as possible, shifting the burden to the executive level and the population. Long before the dysfunctional structures at the core of the state are seriously affected, the remaining positive functions of the state may be eliminated. By the same token, the sources of state revenues are defended by any means. Structural adjustment may alter the form in which cash crops are taxed, but reducing taxation is not so easy. In some cases, taxation may even be raised as a reaction to pressure by international agencies for more balanced government budgets. This is not to say that political structures in these countries cannot be changed for the better, but that such a change may take considerable effort and time. According to Collier (1996), the abuse

of government power and public corruption in Africa can only be overcome gradually, moving from a strategy of donor conditionality, cash budgets, system correction and élite ring-fencing to a more sustainable, but not directly attainable system of reciprocal international threats, scrutiny and democracy.

As for the Uruguay Round, many neo-liberals fail to realize that, in agricultural trade politics, “liberalization” is more a flag of expansionist agribusiness interests in developed countries (DCs) than a cause pursued for the sake of global welfare. Not surprisingly, the Uruguay Round agreement was not quite so liberal. It gave LDCs only a limited increase in access to DC markets (Hathaway & Ingco, 1995).¹⁷ Moreover, the agreement hardly restricted the real scope for DCs’ dumping of farm products. Although it limited direct export subsidization, it allowed disguised dumping by R&D subsidization and direct allowances. Significantly, the USA is now combining such allowances with a relaxation of production restrictions in the frame of its new 1996 farm act, while the EU is contemplating a similar course (Commission of the European Union, 1997).

3.2.2 Is sustainable agricultural development in West Africa possible under free trade? On a more fundamental level, it can be questioned whether it is possible for West Africa to develop its agriculture under free trade conditions. Model studies indicate that global liberalization would restore agricultural prices in the world market, but in a declining secular trend.¹⁸ Even in the implausible case of complete liberalization, it is not certain that world markets will generate agricultural prices which are high enough to enable adequate agricultural development in the region. Unlike West-African agriculture today, western agriculture has never had to develop itself within a context of low market prices. The “agricultural revolution” of the 18th and 19th Centuries (new rotations, convertible husbandry and simple mechanization) was facilitated by high agricultural prices in world markets. The “second agricultural revolution” in the 20th Century (agro-chemicals, new seeds, imported fodder and more far-fetched mechanization) passed off behind walls of protection. Similarly, Japan and new industrial countries like Korea and Taiwan have protected their farm sectors by means of targeted subsidies, concessional credit (usually tied to prescribed input packages for high-yielding varieties and output support packages) and protective trade policy arrangements.¹⁹

The background of this evolution is the global dynamics of international agricultural markets. In both DCs and LDCs, demand for farm products is inelastic while the mobility of farm labour is limited and retarded by economic and cultural mechanisms. It makes agricultural prices sensitive to the broad demographic, technological and economic forces that affect the relative growth rates of demand and supply of farm products (Schultz, 1945). In the 18th and 19th Centuries, demographic pressures and an increased demand for farm-produced inputs in non-agricultural sectors generated high agricultural prices. However, this changed from the last quarter of the 19th Century, when the Transport Revolution induced a global expansion of commercial agriculture, cheap agricchemicals boosted the growth rates of yields and other innovations led to a massive substitution of minerals for farm-based materials and energy sources. As a consequence, agricultural prices in world markets were squeezed to a level which not only caused socio-political problems but also complicated a productive adjustment of farming in many cases. This partly explains why all DCs have protected their farm sectors—many from the late 19th Century, and all from the 1930s²⁰—and why successful developing countries have followed their example. Economic historical studies contradict assertions by liberal economists (e.g. Tracy, 1989) that agricultural

protection was merely counterproductive. They suggested that these policies contributed to successful agricultural development and thereby to economic and cultural linkage effects that stimulated industrial growth (Bairoch, 1976; Webb, 1978; Koning, 1991, 1994).

It seems plausible, therefore, that the lack of farm income support in African countries reduces their opportunities for agricultural and economic development. The exposition to low world market prices complicates investments in African agriculture and makes it more difficult to overcome internal imperfections and teething problems.²¹ In this situation, the encouragement of “ecological” (low external inputs) agriculture tends to become a mere palliative. It helps farmers to subsist, but, without input of external nutrients, improved seeds and the like, it cannot achieve the necessary increase in farm production.²² Something similar is true for participatory approaches, because these can, only to a limited degree, improve farm-gate prices. Co-operatives can increase the efficiency and performance of the economic environment of farmers. However, a more far-reaching protective organization of agricultural markets would require the forming of co-operative cartels, which is precluded by free-rider problems (Galbraith, 1952). In DCs, all attempts at a protective organization of agricultural markets on a private co-operative basis have failed, and there is no reason for such efforts to succeed in LDCs. Therefore, so long as a participatory approach accepts a policy environment which one-sidedly pursues liberalization, it will at best be little more than liberal poor relief based on “self help”.²³

4. A Way Out?

For agricultural progress and adequate soil management to be realized in West Africa (and several other LDCs), not one but several conditions must be met. Adequate land-use rights and support services are needed, otherwise even favourable prices will not stimulate integrated soil management. However, these provisions will only be helpful if farming is remunerative, so that investments become attractive for farmers and more easy to finance (Reardon *et al.*, 1997, 1999). The fulfilment of this condition requires reducing transport costs, eliminating imperfections in local markets and strengthening the bargaining position of farmers by rural credit and co-operative storage.²⁴ However, it also requires an adequate level of agricultural prices in national markets. It is sometimes suggested that lack of transport and local market imperfections make national prices of little importance for farmers (e.g. de Alcántara, 1993), but this premise separates two things that are actually more closely related. An improvement of national prices will increase the premium on improving transport, competing local monopolies, or organizing co-operative selling, thereby encouraging the improvement of these local conditions. Historical experience in DCs shows, for example, that farmers’ co-operatives were mostly not established in the deepest of farm depression, but when agricultural prices started to recover (van Stuijvenberg, 1980).

So, the terms of trade for farmers should be improved, indeed, to make sustainable agricultural development possible. However, the improvement needed for this goes further than an elimination of the bias against agriculture by reducing cash crop taxation and correcting overvalued exchange rates. As argued above, agricultural development in West Africa is vitally dependent on the synergetic effects of an integrated soil management approach. Such an approach requires considerable investments by farmers, in terms of finance as well as labour. As we have explained in the preceding sections, the full benefits of these investments can only be reaped after several years, whereas various factors restrain these investments in the short term. Poor

farmers have short time horizons and high discount rates. The initial effects of measures to improve poor or degraded soils are limited. Farmers need time to learn new technologies and adapt them to local circumstances. Also various socio-economic constraints reduce the profitability of investments for farmers and confront them with high risks and imperfect labour and capital markets. Because of this combination of adverse factors, the transition towards integrated soil management technologies is unlikely to be achieved under a liberalized market regime, unless world market prices for agricultural products rise considerably. Consequently, in addition to restoring competitive conditions and remedying structural constraints, support of farm incomes may be needed to encourage farmer investments.

Once enough soil capital has been formed, the improved soil condition will make further investments more remunerative. Also, endogenous growth theory (Romer, 1994) suggests that the ensuing development will induce a relaxation of some of the structural handicaps. In particular, an increase in agricultural production and trade can stimulate the knowledge base and infrastructural investments, and help mitigate imperfections in rural product, labour and capital markets. Consequently, temporary support of farm incomes can be expected to achieve sustained agricultural development in many regions. In other regions, sustainable intensification will remain dependent on support, even after adequate soil capital has been formed. Such continued support may be justified if the lack of agricultural development has serious external effects on the environment, food security, or socio-political stability (Breman, 1997). Besides, in West-African countries, as in some West-European countries in the late 19th Century, farm income support may contribute to a broad-based agricultural development which is desirable as a basis for overall economic growth. In any case, the legitimacy of continued support will be enhanced if DCs also continue to support their agricultural sectors by direct allowances or by other means.

Bearing in mind the limited public resources available and the need for public investment for reducing structural difficulties, direct allowances to farmers are no real option in West-African countries. By the same token, fertilizer subsidies cannot easily be afforded. Besides, fertilizer subsidies will hardly increase (and may even deter)²⁵ the application of organic fertility measures and improved soil hydraulic measures, which depend to a large extent on labour investment. Hence, the synergetic effects of an integrated soil management approach will not be fully exploited. For these reasons, farm income support could best take the form of price support, e.g. by a West-African tariff union which imposes tariffs on agricultural imports.²⁶ Such tariffs would also have the advantage of raising revenue, which could be used for public investments in infrastructure and institutional support. Negative effects on poor consumers could be alleviated, e.g. by large-scale food-for-work programmes. Such programmes could be used to carry out infrastructural works, and would give additional incentives to the production of food, so that different aims could be achieved simultaneously. DCs' support for such programmes could be an effective form of development aid.

The use of protective instruments for promoting the development of domestic industries in LDCs has been criticized in recent years. The argument is that protection will limit competition and thereby discourage innovation, acquisition of technological capabilities and cost reduction. Moreover, it will cause resource misallocation, higher vulnerability to external shocks and wasteful rent-seeking activities (Rodrik, 1995). However, these arguments are less valid when applied to the agricultural sector in West Africa, which is: (i) far removed from the centre of political power; (ii) made up of a large number of small-scale producers rather than a few "infant industry" producers; (iii) characterized by a relatively competitive internal output market; (iv) currently

confronted with severe overexploitation (land) and underexploitation (labour) of resources; and (v) facing highly unstable world markets also by dumping of production surpluses by DCs. Under these conditions, (temporary) protection of farm incomes in West Africa can hardly be expected to cause the type of inefficiencies that typically result from the promotion of new industries by means of import substitution policies.

How can farm income support be realized in West Africa? The conditions are in the first place political. The formation of regional tariff unions by LDCs should be tolerated by the powerful states (EU, USA) and international institutions (World Bank, IMF), all of which can influence trade policies of developing countries. Moreover, reducing the taxation of cash crops, supporting agricultural prices and increasing the volume and efficiency of infrastructural investments require a change of policy in West-African countries themselves. This will not be realized simply by prescriptions by international agencies. Rather, it depends on a change of power relations within internal politics. The development of strong farmers' movements is necessary for counteracting the overexploitation of agriculture, and for enforcing positive measures that will encourage agricultural development. At a more general level, such movements can help create the popular countervailing power to bureaucratic and corporate power, which is a necessary condition for viable democracy.

In such a changed setting, important elements of the ecological-participationist view remain valid. A participatory approach is needed to mobilize local energies and indigenous knowledge, to implement expert knowledge and adapt it to the large variety in local circumstances, and to put an end to the impotence of many academic institutes whose reports have no effect in the field. Such a participatory approach should be coupled not to a one-sided pursuit of farming systems which conform to a "low external inputs" model, but to an integrated approach which, in varying proportions, combines elements of "low external inputs" and "high external inputs" models in a way adapted to local circumstances.²⁷ In this regard, the increasing support for integrated concepts of soil and pesticide management and a participatory approach by established agricultural research institutions is to be welcomed.²⁸

Notes

1. See, e.g. Pinstrup-Andersen & Pandya-Lorch, 1994, p.4.
2. See, e.g. World Bank, 1992, Chapter 7; Warford *et al.*, 1997.
3. There are signs of a further rapprochement between proponents of the two policy approaches, e.g. the World Bank's new magazine *Environment Matters*, with articles such as "Learning from the poor".
4. See Koning *et al.* (1997) for a more detailed discussion of the West-African resource base.
5. Chemical deterioration is underestimated, because soil mining was not adequately recognized at the time of map compilation.
6. See, e.g. the case study by Kasanga (1992) for North Ghana.
7. Unlike food aid policies of the EU, those of the USA have not shifted to triangular transactions and local buying (see data in FAO, 1996).
8. In Côte d'Ivoire, a system of compensatory levies protecting the domestic beef market was introduced in 1991. As a result, beef imports from the EU declined by more than 50% in the period 1991-93 (Moll & Heerink, 1998).
9. Including implicit taxation resulting from overvalued exchange rates.
10. In Ghana, for example, the combined effect of subsidy abolishment and successive exchange rate adjustments between 1983 and 1993 led to a 13- to 15-fold increase in real fertilizer prices; in Burkina Faso, the abolishment of the fertilizer subsidy caused approximately a

- doubling of fertilizer prices during the same period (Kempkes, 1997; see also Bumb *et al.*, 1994, pp. 38–41 on Ghana).
11. In the savanna region of Togo, the ratio between the price received by farmers for first quality cotton and the price they paid for agro-chemicals decreased by 15% between 1991 and 1995. In the same years, the area under cotton decreased from 15 141 to 12 683 ha. (Data from the Société Togolaise du Coton.)
 12. VCR = ratio of value of increased yield to the cost of fertilizer per unit. A VCR of 2.0 can be seen as the absolute minimum for fertilizer use to be efficient. If risks for farmers (weather, prices) are considered, VCRs should be considerably higher, something like above 4.0 (Koffi-Tessio, 1998).
 13. In the calculation of these data, a response rate of 10 kg per kg fertilizer is assumed. In the same period, the VCR for cotton decreased from 6.6 in 1981 to 4.2 in 1989 and 4.0 in 1996.
 14. Unlike other adjusting countries, public capital expenditure as well as recurrent expenditure increased in Ghana during the first years of structural adjustment as a result of increased government revenues from tax system reforms (Leechor, 1994). The share of agriculture in public (capital and recurrent) expenditure, however, declined drastically during that period (Fosu, 1993).
 15. See also Hayami & Ruttan (1985, pp. 133–136), McGuirk & Mundlak (1991) and Mundlak (1992) on differences in production functions between traditional and modern agricultural systems in LDCs.
 16. See also Morrisson *et al.* (1994) for an integrated political-economic model analysis of the political feasibility of adjustment measures in sub-Saharan Africa.
 17. At the WTO Ministerial Conference in Singapore (December 1996), however, a Plan of Action was adopted that aims at granting duty-free access for the exports of the least-developed countries to DC markets.
 18. Even this relative improvement can be questioned, as these studies cannot deal adequately with the dynamics of the farm sector (de Hoogh, 1987). For example, the effect of direct allowances on the supply of farm products is hardly known.
 19. The rise in agricultural protection in East Asia is documented in Anderson & Hayami (1986). Here it is seen as a brake on industrialization caused by the effect of the latter on political markets. However, nothing in the account precludes the reverse hypothesis that agricultural protection actually contributed to industrialization.
 20. Only New Zealand eliminated its support of farm incomes after 1984.
 21. This is even more so, since the successful development of DCs' agriculture has strongly increased the productivity gap between DCs and LDCs. In spite of their low wages, LDCs now even seem to have higher labour costs per unit of production in agriculture than DCs (Bairoch, 1997).
 22. In promoting sustainable agricultural technologies, it is important to bear in mind that the environmental risks in Western countries (where fertilizer input is high and limitations may be desirable to reduce emissions) differ fundamentally from those in many regions in LDCs. In the latter, fertilizer use is too low to avoid nutrient depletion, and a further reduction only risks exacerbating processes of soil degradation.
 23. At worst, participation may serve local elites, expose vulnerable groups in ways that are harmful and transfer the responsibility for success or failure from policy-makers and project staff to the farmers themselves. See the warnings in Hurni *et al.* (1996, p. 59) (after J. N. Pretty).
 24. These elements are interrelated: improvements in transport and local market conditions will reduce transaction costs in the sale of farm output and the purchase of inputs, and thereby lead to more favourable price conditions at farm level.
 25. This is suggested by studies in other regions, e.g. that by Templeton (1994) on the hillsides of the Philippines.
 26. Using a farm household model approach, Ruben *et al.* (1997) conclude that fertilizer subsidies may even make soil nutrient and organic matter balances in southern Mali slightly more negative, because the subsidy stimulates cultivation of soil-depleting cereal crops. They conclude that output price policies and structural policies addressing transaction costs are more effective in improving soil quality.
 27. In fact, a really participatory approach can hardly do otherwise. In many places, farmers wish to use artificial fertilizers. Only if these wishes are ignored, or effaced by "liberal" policies, can proponents of participation adhere to a one-sided LEIA concept.
 28. See, e.g. Kauffman, 1996; de Jager *et al.*, 1998.

References

- Ahmed, R. & Rustagi, N. (1987) Marketing and price incentives in African and Asian countries: a comparison, in: D. Elz (Ed.) *Agricultural Marketing Strategy and Pricing Policy* (Washington, DC, World Bank).
- Alcántara, S.H. de (Ed.) (1993) *Real Markets: Social and Political Issues of Food Policy Reform* (London and Portland, Frank Cass).
- Alexandratos, N. (1995) *World Agriculture: Towards 2010* (Chichester, FAO/John Wiley & Sons).
- Anderson, K. & Hayami, Y. (1986) *The Political Economy of Agricultural Protection: East Asia in International Perspective* (Sydney, Allen & Unwin).
- Bairoch, P. (1976) *Commerce Exterieur et Developpement Economique de l'Europe au XIXe Siecle* (Paris, Mouton).
- Bairoch, P. (1997) New estimates on agricultural productivity and yields of developed countries, in: A. Bhaduri & R. Skarstein (Eds) *Economic Development and Agricultural Productivity* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar).
- Bationo, A., Lompo, F. & Koala, S. (1998) Research on nutrient flows and balances in West Africa: state of the art, *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 71, pp. 19–35.
- Breman, H. (1997) Building soil fertility in Africa: constraints and perspectives, *International Workshop on Development of National Strategies for Soil Fertility Recapitalization in Sub-Saharan Africa (including the use of phosphate rock and other amendments)*, Lomé, Togo, 22–25 April.
- Bumb, B.L., Teboh, J.F., Atta, J.K. & Asenso-Okyere, W.K. (1994) *Ghana: Policy Environment and Fertiliser Sector Development* (Alabama, International Fertiliser Development Centre).
- Chambers, R., Pacey, A. & Thrupp, L.A. (Eds) (1989) *Farmers First: Farmer Innovation and Agricultural Research* (London, Intermediate Technology Publications).
- Clark, J.F. (1997) The challenges of political reform in Sub-Saharan Africa: a theoretical overview, in: J.F. Clark & D.E. Gardiner (Eds) *Political Reform in Francophone Africa* (Oxford, Westview Press).
- Collier, P. (1996) The role of the African state in building agencies of restraint, in: M. Lundahl & B.J. Ndulu (Eds) *New Directions in Development Economics: Growth, Environmental Concerns and Government in the 1990s* (London and New York, Routledge).
- Commission of the European Union (1997) *Agenda 2000*, Vol. I (Brussel, Commission of the European Union).
- Davidson, B. (1992) *The Black Man's Burden: Africa and the Curse of the Nation-state* (London, James Currey).
- FAO (1996) *Food Supply Situation and Crop Prospects in Sub-Sahara Africa, Global Information and Early Warning Systems on Food and Agriculture* (Rome, FAO).
- Fosu, K.Y. (1993) Domestic public policy and Ghana's agriculture, in: E. Gyimah-Boadi (Ed.) *Ghana Under PNDC Rule* (Senegal, CODESRIA).
- Galbraith, J.K. (1952) *American Capitalism: The Concept of Countervailing Power* (Cambridge, MA, Riverside Press).
- Gerner, H., Honfoga, B. & Carney, M. (1995) Farmer facing lower returns from fertiliser use on food crops in West Africa, *African Fertiliser Market*, 8(3), pp. 4–6 (Lomé, International Fertiliser Development Centre).
- Hathaway, D.E. & Ingco, M.D. (1995) Agricultural liberalisation and the Uruguay Round, in: W. Martin & L.A. Winters (Eds) *The Uruguay Round and the Developing Economies* (Washington DC, World Bank).
- Hayami, Y. & Ruttan, V.W. (1985) *Agricultural Development. An International Perspective* (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press).
- Heerink, N., Atsma, P. & Fosu, K.Y. (1997a) Farmers' transport costs and agricultural production in Ghana, in: W.K. Asenso-Okyere, G. Benneh & W. Tims (Eds.) *Sustainable Food Security in West Africa* (Dordrecht, Kluwer).
- Heerink, N., van der Lubbe, M. & Fosu, K.Y. (1997b) Public extension services and agricultural production in Ghana, in: T. Bierschenk, P.-Y. Le Meu & M. von Oppen (Eds) *Institutions and Technologies for Rural Development in West Africa* (Weikersheim, Margraf).
- Heerink, N. & Ruben, R. (1996) Economic approaches for the evaluation of low external input agriculture, *Tijdschrift voor Sociaalwetenschappelijk Onderzoek van de Landbouw*, 11, pp. 294–308.
- Hiemstra, W., Reijntjes, C. & van der Werf, E. (Eds) (1992) *Let Farmers Judge—Experiences in Assessing the Sustainability of Agriculture* (London, Intermediate Technology Publications).
- Hoogh, J. de (1987) Agricultural policies in industrial countries and their effects on the Third

- World: a critical view on the comparative-static analysis of a dynamic process, *Tijdschrift voor Sociaalwetenschappelijk Onderzoek van de Landbouw*, 2, pp. 68–81.
- Hurni, H., Eger, H. & Fleischhauer, E. (1996) *Precious Earth: From Soil and Water Conservation to Sustainable Land Management* (Berne, International Soil Conservation Organisation and Centre for Development and Environment).
- Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research (ISSER) (1995) *The State of the Ghanaian Economy in 1994* (Legon, ISSER, University of Ghana).
- Jager, A. de, Mokwunye, A.U. & Smaling, E.M.A. (1998) Do fertilisers play a major role in sustainable agricultural development in West Africa?, in: G.A.A. Wossink, G.C. van Kooten & G.H. Peters (Eds) *Economics of Agro-chemicals: An International Overview of Use Patterns, Technical and Institutional Determinants, Policies and Perspectives* (Aldershot, Ashgate).
- Kasanga, R.K. (1992) *Agricultural Land Administration and Social Differentiation: A Case Study of the Tono, Veve and Fumbisi Belts of the North-Eastern Ghana*, Working Paper 10, Social Science Research Council, Joint Committee on Africa Studies, New York.
- Kauffman, S. (1996) Integrated soil management—a challenge for farmers and scientists, *ILEIA Newsletter*, 12, pp. 28–30.
- Kempkes, Y. (1997) *Impact of Structural Adjustment Programmes on Fertiliser Use—The Case of Ghana and Burkina Faso*. (Lomé, International Fertiliser Development Centre).
- Koffi-Tessio, E.M. (1998) Regional variation in efficiency of fertiliser use: food crop production in Togo, in: G.A.A. Wossink, G.C. van Kooten & G.H. Peters (Eds) *Economics of Agro-chemicals: An International Overview of Use Patterns, Technical and Institutional Determinants, Policies and Perspectives* (Aldershot, Ashgate).
- Koning, N. (1991) Agricultural price-support: real history and the liberal view, *Tijdschrift voor Sociaalwetenschappelijk Onderzoek van de Landbouw*, 6, pp. 44–65.
- Koning, N. (1994) *The Failure of Agrarian Capitalism. Agrarian Politics in the UK, Germany, the Netherlands and the USA, 1846–1919* (London and New York, Routledge).
- Koning, N., Heerink, N. & Kauffman, S. (1997) *Integrated Soil Improvement and Agricultural Development in West Africa: Why Current Policy Approaches Fail*. Report 97/11, (Wageningen International Soil Reference and Information Centre).
- Krueger, A.O. (1995) Policy lessons from development experience since the Second World War, in: J. Behrman & T.N. Srinivasan (Eds) *Handbook of Development Economics*, Vol. 3B (Amsterdam, North-Holland).
- Leechor, C. (1994) Ghana: front runner in adjustment, in: I. Husain & R. Faruqee (Eds) *Adjustment in Africa: Lessons From Country Case Studies* (Washington, DC, World Bank).
- Lele, U. & Stone, S.W. (1989) *Population Pressure, the Environment and Agricultural Intensification: Variations on the Boserup Hypothesis* (Washington, DC, World Bank).
- Maxwell, S. (1991) The disincentive effect of food aid: a pragmatic approach, in: E. Clay & O. Stokke (Eds) *Food Aid Reconsidered: Assessing the Impact on Third World Countries* (London, Frank Cass).
- McGuirk, A. & Mundlak, Y. (1991) *Incentives and Constraints in the Transformation of Punjab Agriculture*, Research Report No. 87, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Mokwunye, A.U., de Jager, A. & Smaling, E.M.A. (1996) *Restoring and Maintaining the Productivity of West African Soils: Key to Sustainable Development* (Lomé International Fertiliser Development Centre).
- Moll, H.A.J. & Heerink, N.B.M. (1998) Price adjustments and the cattle sub-sector in central West Africa, in: A.J. Nell (Ed.) *Livestock and the Environment—International Conference* (Wageningen, International Agricultural Centre).
- Morrisson, C., Lafay, J.-D. & Dessus, S. (1994) The political conditions of adjustment in Africa 1980–90, in: R. van der Hoeven & F. van der Kraaij (Eds), *Structural Adjustment and Beyond in Sub-Saharan Africa* (London, James Currey and Portsmouth, NH, Heinemann).
- Mundlak, Y. (1992) *Agricultural Productivity and Economic Policies: Concepts and Measurements*, Technical Paper No. 75, OECD Development Centre, Paris.
- Oldeman, L.R., Hakkeling, R.T.A. & Sombroek, W.G. (1991) *World Map of the Status of Human-induced Soil Degradation: An Explanatory note*, 2nd edn (Wageningen, International Soil Reference and Information Centre, and Nairobi, United Nations Environmental Programme).
- Paarlberg, R.L. (1996) Rice bowls and dust bowls: Africa, not China, faces a food crisis: review essay, *Foreign Affairs*, 75, pp. 127–132.
- Pieri, C. (1989) *Fertilité des Terres de Savanes. Bilan de Trente Ans de Recherche et de Développement Agricole au Sud du Sahara* (Paris, CIRAD-IRAT).

- Pinstrup-Andersen, P. & Pandya-Lorch, R. (1994) *Alleviating Poverty, Intensifying Agriculture, and Effectively Managing Natural Resources*, Food, Agriculture and Environment Discussion Paper 1, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Platteau, J.-Ph. (1990) The food crisis in Africa: a comparative structural analysis, in: J. Drèze & A. Sen (Eds), *The Political Economy of Hunger*, Vol. 2 (Oxford, Clarendon Press).
- Pol, F. van der (1992) *Soil Mining—An Unseen Contributor to Farm Income in Southern Mali*, Bulletin 325 (Amsterdam, Royal Tropical Institute).
- Pretty, J.N. (1995) *Regenerating Agriculture: Policies and Practice for Sustainability and Self-reliance* (London, Earthscan).
- Quarles van Ufford, P. & Klaasse, Bos A. (1995) Distorted beef markets and regional livestock trade in West Africa: experience from the central West African corridor, *Tijdschrift voor Sociaalwetenschappelijk Onderzoek van de Landbouw*, 10, pp. 5–19.
- Reardon, T. (1997) African agriculture: productivity and sustainability issues, in: C. Eicher & J. Staatz (Eds) *Agricultural Development in the Third World*, 3rd edn (Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press).
- Reardon, T., Barrett, C., Kelly, V. & Savadogo, K. (1999) Policy reforms and sustainable agricultural intensification in Africa, *Development Policy Review*, 17, pp. 375–395.
- Reardon, T., Kelly, V., Crawford, E., Diagana, B., Dioné, J., Savadogo, K. & Boughton, D. (1997) Promoting sustainable intensification and productivity growth in Sahel agriculture after macroeconomic policy reform, *Food Policy*, 22, pp. 317–327.
- Reij, C., Scoones, I. & Toulmin, C. (1996) *Sustaining the Soil—Indigenous Soil and Water Conservation in Africa* (London, Earthscan).
- Reijntjes, C., Haverkort, B. & Waters-Bayer, A. (1992) *Farming for the Future—An Introduction to Low-external-input and Sustainable Agriculture* (London, Macmillan).
- Rodrik, D. (1995) Trade and industrial policy reform, in: J. Behrman & T.N. Srinivasan (Eds) *Handbook of Development Economics*, Vol. 3B (Amsterdam, North-Holland).
- Romer, P.M. (1994) The origins of endogenous growth, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 8, pp. 3–22.
- Ruben, R., Attema, J. & Breman, H. (1994) Europese exportsubsidies op rundvlees: verdere daling noodzakelijk, *Internationale Spectator*, 48, pp. 599–602.
- Ruben, R., Heerink, N. & Mol, E. (1998) Economic evaluation of low and high external input agriculture: a production function analysis for Indonesia, in: G.A.A. Wossink, G.C. van Kooten & G.H. Peters (Eds) *Economics of Agro-chemicals: An International Overview of Use Patterns, Technical and Institutional Determinants, Policies and Perspectives* (Aldershot, Ashgate).
- Ruben, R., Kruseman, G., Hengsdijk J. & Kuyvenhoven, A. (1997) The impact of agrarian policies on sustainable land use, in: P.S. Teng, M.J. Kropff, H.F.M. ten Berge, J.B. Drent, F.P. Langsigan & H.H. van Laar (Eds) *Applications of Systems Approaches at the Farm and Regional Level* (Dordrecht, Kluwer).
- Safadi, R. & Laird, S. (1996) The Uruguay Round agreements: impact on developing countries, *World Development*, 24, pp. 1223–1242.
- Scherr, S.J. & Yadav, S. (1996) *Land Degradation in the Developing World. Implications for Food, Agriculture, and the Environment to 2020*, Food, Agriculture and the Environment Discussion Paper 14, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.
- Schultz, Th.W. (1945) *Agriculture in an Unstable Society* (New York, MacGraw-Hill).
- Scoones, I. & Toulmin, P. (1999) *Policies for Soil Fertility Management in Africa* (Brighton, Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex).
- Stoorvogel, J.J. & Smaling, E.M.A. (1990) *Assessment of Soil Nutrient Depletion in Sub-Saharan Africa: 1983–2000*, Report 28, Winand Staring Centre, Wageningen.
- Stuijvenberg, J.H. van (1980) A reconsideration of the origins of the agricultural co-operative, *Low Countries History Yearbook*, 13, pp. 114–132.
- Templeton, S. (1994) The effects of market factors on investments in land quality: evidence from an econometric analysis of non-paddy terracing in the Philippines, *69th Annual Conference of the Western Economic Association International*, Vancouver, 2 July.
- Timmer, P. (1988) The agricultural transformation, in: H.B. Chenery & T.N. Srinivasan (Eds) *Handbook of Development Economics*, Vol. 1 (Amsterdam, North Holland).
- Tracy, M. (1989) *Government and Agriculture in Western Europe 1880–1988* (Hemel Hempstead, Harvester Wheatsheaf).
- Venkatesan (1994) *Seed Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa*, World Bank Discussion Paper, Africa Technical Department series 266, World Bank, Washington, DC.

- Warford, J.W., Munasinghe M. & Cruz, W. (1997) *The Greening of Economic Policy Reform* (Washington, DC, World Bank).
- Webb, S.B. (1978) The economic effects on tariff protection in imperial Germany, 1879 to 1914, PhD Thesis, University of Chicago, Chicago.
- Weischet W. & Caviedes, C.N. (1993) *The Persistent Ecological Constraints of Tropical Agriculture* (Harlow, Longman).
- Windmeijer, P.N. & Andriesse, W. (Eds) (1993) *Inland Valleys in West Africa: an agro-ecological Characterization of Rice-growing Environments*, ILRI publication No. 52 (Wageningen, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement).
- WOCAT (1997) World overview of conservation approaches and technologies (WOCAT), *Proceedings of International Workshop & Steering Committee Meeting*, Murten, Centre for Development and Environment, Bern.
- World Bank (1986a) *World Development Report 1986* (Oxford, Oxford University Press).
- World Bank (1986b) *Poverty and Hunger* (Washington, DC, World Bank).
- World Bank (1992) *World Development Report 1992: Development and the Environment* (Oxford, Oxford University Press).
- World Bank (1994) *Adjustment in Africa: Reforms, Results, and the Road Ahead* (Oxford, Oxford University Press).
- World Bank (1999) *World Bank Africa Database 1998/99*, CD-ROM (Washington, DC, World Bank).